*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/501,756	EIBACH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Poltorak	2134	
All Participants:	ants: Status of Application: Notife of Appeal Filed		
(1) <u>Peter Poltorak</u> .	(3)		
(2) Robert Voigt.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 January 2007	Time: <u>17:00</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	•	
Claims discussed: 1-5, 7-8 and 10-11			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend the present application as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview since the interview	
·	KAMBIZ Z SUPERVISORY PAT	ZAND ENT EXAMINER	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			